UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,829	03/07/2006	Fumihiko Ishikawa	4456-0105PUS1	6864
2292 7590 11/29/2007 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			EXAMINER	
			SAJJADI, FEREYDOUN GHOTB	
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1633	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/29/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/560,829	ISHIKAWA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Fereydoun G. Sajjadi	1633			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. vely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 De</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims	•				
5) 6) 7) 8)	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-33 are subject to restriction and/or expenses.	vn from consideration.				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary				
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-33 are currently pending in the application.

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-8, drawn to an immature immunodeficient non-human mammal into which human-derived hematopoietic cells have been transplanted.

Group II, claim(s) 9-15, drawn to a method for producing an immature immunodeficient non-human mammal comprising transplanting human-derived hematopoietic cells therein.

Group III, claim(s) 16, 17, 24 and 27, drawn to a method for producing a human-derived antibody *in vitro*, and a method comprising recovering immunocompetent cells from an immature immunodeficient non-human mammal into which human-derived hematopoietic cells have been transplanted, culturing said cells in the presence of an antigen.

Group IV, claim(s) 18, 19, 22 and 23, drawn to a method for producing a human-derived antibody *in vivo*, and a method for screening an immune-related pharmaceutical, comprising immunizing an immature immunodeficient non-human mammal into which human-derived hematopoietic cells have been transplanted, and collecting said antibody.

Group V, claim(s) 20 and 21, drawn to a disease-model mammal, produced by administering pathogens or tumor cells or tumor antigens to an immature immunodeficient non-human mammal into which human-derived hematopoietic cells have been transplanted.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,829

Art Unit: 1633

Group VI, claim(s) 25, 26, 28 and 29, drawn to an immunocompetent cell recovered from an immature immunodeficient non-human mammal into which human-derived hematopoietic cells have been transplanted, and a vaccine comprising said immunocompetent cell.

Group VII, claim(s) 27 and 31-33, drawn to a human derived antibody recovered from an immature immunodeficient non-human mammal into which human-derived hematopoietic cells have been transplanted, or culturing an immunocompetent cell in the presence of an antigen, and a vaccine comprising said antibody.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

According to PCT Rule 13.2, unity of invention exists only when a shared same or corresponding special technical feature is a contribution over the prior art. The technical feature, which is shared by Groups I-VII, is an immature immunodeficient non-human mammal into which human-derived hematopoietic cells have been transplanted.

Groups I-VII do not share a special technical feature over the art because the inventions lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Ishikawa et al. (Exp. Hematol. 30(5):488-494; May 2002). Ishikawa et al. teach long-term engrafting of human hematopoietic cells into newborn NOD/SCID/β2-microglobulin deficient mice (Title and Abstract).

The claims in Groups I-VI are drawn to distinct products, and methods that utilize distinct steps, requiring non-coextensive search and examination. Thus, it follows from the preceding analysis that the claimed inventions listed as Groups I-VII do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding technical features for the reasons set forth above.

MPEP 1893.03(d) states: If an examiner (1) determines that the claims lack unity of invention and (2) requires election of a single invention, when all of the claims drawn to the elected invention are allowable (i.e., meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112), the nonelected invention(s) should be considered for rejoinder. Any nonelected product claim that requires all the limitations of an allowable product claim, and any nonelected process claim

Application/Control Number: 10/560,829

Art Unit: 1633

that requires all the limitations of an allowable process claim, should be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04 and § 821.04(a). Any nonelected processes of making and/or using an allowable product should be considered for rejoinder following the practice set forth in MPEP § 821.04(b).

2. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

For Group I-VII, a specifically named single species of non-human mammal. The animals generically encompassed by base claim 1 include many diverse species, that include a mouse, a sheep and a monkey (as recited on p. 7 of the specification). As such, each species is genetically, and physiologically distinct.

For Groups I and II, a specifically named single species for the source of hematopoietic cells; either bone marrow, cord blood or peripheral blood, as recited in claims 4 and 11.

For Groups I-III, a specifically named single species of, an immunocompetent cells; either B cells, T cells, dendritic cells, NK cells or NDT cells, as recite in claims 5, 12 and 17.

For Groups I and II, a specifically named single species of, immunoglobulin; either IgG, IgM, IgA, IgD or IgE, as recite in claims 7 and 14.

For Group V, a specifically named single species of antigen; either bacteria, viruses, tumor cells or tumor antigen peptides, as recite in claim 20.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP \$809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Art Unit: 1633

Claims 1, 9, 16, 20, 22, and 24-33, and claims dependent therefrom correspond to all the species listed above. The following claim(s) are generic: 1-33.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: As the technical features (different non-human mammals, sources of precursor cells, hematopoietic cell types, and test substances) linking the members do not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, particularly since each of the species does not share a substantially common structural feature, the requirement for unity of invention is not fulfilled.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fereydoun G. Sajjadi whose telephone number is (571) 272-.

3311. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00-4:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,829 Page 6

Art Unit: 1633

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach can be reached on (571) 272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Fereydoun G. Sajjadi, Ph.D. Examiner, A.U. 1633

/Anne Marie S. Wehbé/ Primary Examiner, A.U. 1633